

Dear Mr Slater,

Thank you for your comments, below are a list of our responses which we hope answer your remaining queries.

Question: - is the intention as that the second part of the policy re promoting walking, cycling and public transport and improving the rights of way network, a commitment to spend CIL money on such measures either by the Parish using its percentage of CIL receipts or the District Council/ ESCC, rather than seeking additional contributions via planning obligations which would be effectively collecting money twice as spending money on public transport improvements is mentioned in the Lewes Infrastructure Delivery Plan .

Response: This is an area where CIL money has previously been spent. As we mentioned in our last response, the current Glendene development (LW/19/0054) will be providing two bus-shelters and widening the footpath leading to these shelters as a way of improving pedestrian access to walking and public transport. From the surveys we carried out, pedestrian connections was continually raised as something the parish wished to be improved. Using the CIL money towards these improvements is therefore of particular importance, not just from the point of view of accessibility, but to the more overarching aspiration of improving community cohesion within a dispersed rural parish. We see no reason why CIL money and contributions made under the Infrastructure Delivery Plan cannot both be collected to improve pedestrian connections, particularly when some of the areas that need investing in, such as a footpath between the Kings Head crossroads and South Chailey, will require greater levels of financial investment.

Please note that the currency of the Plan runs to the 2030s and the Parish Council's financial situation cannot be forecast accurately over such a long period, even if the planning laws were to remain constant. Nevertheless, our intention would be to devote some part of any future CIL money to footpaths and cycle ways but exactly how much in each case would be determined by the Council's financial situation at the time. However it is not our intention or policy to seek through the imposition of planning obligations to make the developer pay twice."

Question: Historic Buildings - I think I have gone through all the properties that are specifically referred to in the plan , the Character Appraisal, and the Design Report and as far as I can make out all the named properties are either listed buildings or fall within a Conservation Area. Can you confirm that there are no other properties that the Parish Council would describe as historic buildings for the purpose of Policy HO 9 as I am minded to remove all confusion by referring to all buildings which are to be protected by the policy as "heritage assets" . Can the District Council provide me with a list of any locally listed (non designated heritage assets) buildings within Chailey parish.

Response: Not all buildings in Chailey that are of historic significance have been formally listed -e.g . Roeheath, whose significance stems from the career of its first owner, Admiral Markham, and whose first owners when the mansion was split into five dwellings in 1959, decided not to apply for formal listed status but instead to rely on covenants to preserve the building's external appearance as a single entity. There are many buildings that potentially

hold historic significance, and yet have not been formally identified, and will only come to light when planning applications and necessary surveys are undertaken. It is not the Parish Council's intention to create a list of non-listed "heritage assets" but instead to treat each planning application on its merits, judging whether a building due to be altered or demolished or built in its grounds, is indeed a "historic building".

Question: Medieval field patterns - the Parish Council's response has pointed me to the Archaeology Report. Upon checking the report on the neighbourhood plan's website it appears there are just a number of maps covering Esher? - have I misinterpreted the maps or has a link been provided to the wrong information. Can I be provided with a link to the Archaeology report referred to please.

Response: Please see the attached Archaeology report, pages 17 to 19 and 33 and points 4, 5 and 6 on Appendix 2. The first Esher Map of Lane End Common, shows some of the positions of the identified early field patterns, and similar features exist on other areas of Chailey Common, including Red House and Memorial Commons.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Kind regards,

Chailey Parish Council

29th June 2020